

Remarks

Reconsideration of this Application is respectfully requested.

Upon entry of the foregoing amendment, claims 1-32 are pending in the application, with claims 1, 5, 13, 14, 22, and 27-32 being the independent claims. Claims 5 and 14 are sought to be amended. Claims 30-32 are new claims. These changes are believed to introduce no new matter, and their entry is respectfully requested.

Based on the above amendment and the following remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all outstanding objections and rejections and that they be withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the Examiner's indication that claims 5-9 and 14-18 contain allowable subject matter. Accordingly, claims 5 and 14 have been rewritten in independent form. Thus, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner acknowledge the allowance of these claims.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

In paragraph 1 of page 2 of the Office Action, claims 1-4, 10-13, and 19-29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. App. Publication No. 2002/0161826 to Arteaga *et al.* (hereinafter Arteaga). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection, and request that it be withdrawn.

Differences exist between Arteaga and the claimed embodiments of the present invention. For example, claim 1 recites:

(2) receiving from the server at the mobile client device at least one web page template and application data corresponding to the website in response to the request.

Claim 1 further recites:

(1) displaying data of the application data that corresponds to the selected web page formatted according to the at least one web page template.

Arteaga does not teach these features of claim 1.

For example, Arteaga does not teach receiving from a server at a mobile client device a web page template and application data corresponding to a website. While Arteaga discusses a remote communications device using a browser to communicate with a resident web server (*e.g.*, see Paragraphs 0008, 0016, 0092-0094 of Arteaga), nowhere does Arteaga disclose receiving a web page template and application data corresponding to a website. Thus, Arteaga does not disclose "receiving from the server at the mobile client device at least one web page template and application data corresponding to the website in response to the request," as recited in claim 1.

Furthermore, nowhere does Arteaga disclose displaying the received application data formatted according to the received web page template. In paragraph 0016 (and further discussed in paragraph 0118), Arteaga mentions that a "development template for a web application creation tool is implemented for a developer to create an application for use on the remote communication device." However, this is not the same as formatting data according to a web page template. In particular, Arteaga relates to a web application creation tool development template, not a web page template, as recited in

claim 1. Thus, Arteaga does not disclose “displaying data of the application data that corresponds to the selected web page formatted according to the at least one web page template,” as recited in claim 1.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that independent claim 1 is patentable over Arteaga, for at least these reasons. Independent claims 13, 27, and 28, and new independent claims 30 and 31, are also patentable over Arteaga for at least these reasons, and further in view of their own features. Furthermore, claims 2-4 and 10-12, which depend from independent claim 1, and claims 19-21, which depend from independent claim 13, are also patentable over Arteaga for at least these reasons, and further in view of their own features. Thus, Applicants respectfully request that this rejection of claims 1-4, 10-13, and 19-21 be reconsidered and withdrawn.

With regard to claims 22-26 and 29, claim 22 recites:

(B) storing usage data corresponding to the occurrence of at least one user initiated event on the mobile client device.

Claim 22 further recites:

(C) synchronizing the mobile client device with a server, including the step of transmitting the usage data to the server

Arteaga does not teach these features of claim 22.

For example, Arteaga does not teach storing usage data corresponding to the occurrence of a user initiated event on the mobile client device. While Arteaga discusses a user using a remote communications device, and *application* data being stored (*e.g.*, see Paragraphs 0012, 0014-0016), nowhere does Arteaga teach storing *usage* data, as recited in claim 22. Furthermore, nowhere does Arteaga teach transmitting the usage data to the server, as recited in claim 22.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that independent claim 22 is patentable over Arteaga, for at least these reasons. Independent claim 29 and new independent claim 31 are also patentable over Arteaga for at least these reasons, and further in view of its own features. Furthermore, claims 23-26, which depend from independent claim 22, are also patentable over Arteaga for at least these reasons, and further in view of their own features. Thus, Applicants respectfully request that this rejection of claims 22-26 and 29 be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of objection and rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding objections and rejections and that they be withdrawn. Applicants believe that a full and complete reply has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment and Reply is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffrey S. Weaver", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Jeffrey S. Weaver
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 45,608

Date: 1-9-06

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-3934
(202) 371-2600

442256_1.DOC